

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,600	O	03/31/2000	John C. Thomas	8371-95	9902
20575	7590	06/09/2004		EXAM	INER
		N & MCCOLLON	ROGERS, SCOTT A		
	ORRISON STREET O, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2626	\sim
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/540,600	THOMAS, JOHN C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott A Rogers	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	reply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under		•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 65) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 16-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 15 is/are objected t	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reeau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/540,600

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stanich et al (US 6597813).

Referring to claims 9:

There are many examples of the conventional, and notoriously old and well known, general technique of processing image data in a periodic cell format into an aperiodic (non-random) cell format to avoid or reduce the appearance of spatial artifacts, such as Moiré patterns, when printing or displaying the image data.

Stanich et al disclose a method of manipulating image data comprising the steps of:

- a) receiving the image data in a periodic cell format at a processor 14; and
- b) sampling the image data into an aperiodic cell format (col. 8, lines 13-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/540,600

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanich et al in view of well known prior art.

Referring to claims 14:

While Stanich et al does not disclose the periodic cell format used for a printer description language, use of periodic cell formats in for a printer description language (PDL) is well known in the prior art (col. 9, lines 18-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have implemented the image manipulation method taught by Stanich et al with PDL in view of the well-known use of PDL to control printers for printing documents and therefor providing a wider application of the image manipulation method taught by Stanich et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,600

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 1-8 and 16-20 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art searched and of record neither anticipates nor suggests in the claimed combinations, sampling image data in an aperiodic cell format into a predetermined periodic cell format nor rendering image data in an aperiodic format from an aperiodic input device on an aperiodic output device.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers whose telephone number is 703-305-4726. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on 305-4863.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at 703-306-0377. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT ROGERS
PRIMARY EYAMINER